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Foreword

As decades pass, with events overlapping and colliding, it seems to take less and less time to make history. Much of the art world has found itself caught up in this accelerated pace and can’t resist the call to produce more work faster. Vija Celmins, however, is an artist who has chosen her own path and insists upon keeping her own tempo. The journey has not been comfortable. She has often been tormented by self doubts and has experienced a number of fallow periods when the work seemed to come to an end, when she would have to wait out a perplexing and indeterminate span of time before the next step was intuitively revealed.

The nature of her work has required isolation and intense concentration. Over the past ten years the process has become increasingly demanding and precise. Such methods do not lend themselves to prolific productivity; therefore Celmins’ output has been relatively small. This exhibition traces these developments, beginning with the paintings of 1964-67.

One of these paintings, a bowl of steaming soup, was my introduction to Vija Celmins’ work in the mid-1960’s. I never forgot it, despite the fact I did not see it again until I began work on this exhibition. In 1974, when I first approached Vija with a proposal for a survey exhibition, that painting was still vivid in my mind’s eye.

The imagery in these early paintings, as well as in the three-dimensional painted objects, has prompted art historians to place them in the Pop Art movement, but there are personal and historical references in this work that transcend so-called movements and art styles. For example, an overscaled pink eraser may at first evoke nostalgia and admiration for incredible replication. Seen as pure sculpture, the same object takes on the formal aspects of carved marble, contoured to reflect and absorb light through shifting surface planes. The paradoxical hard/soft quality of the finely painted surface is so seductive one cannot resist the urge to touch. These pieces exemplify a wonderful fusion of art history, childlike vision, fine craftsmanship, and esthetic integrity.

Her interest in working with sculptural form went dormant for a few years but has recently been revived in her current work.
5. *Gun with Hand #1, 1964*

oil on canvas

24½ x 34½

Lent by the artist
A major portion of this exhibition is devoted to the graphite drawings of oceans, deserts, lunar surfaces, and galaxies. This series came to completion in 1977. In order to appreciate the subtle progressions and rigorous control sustained in these drawings (comprehensively examined by Susan Larsen), it is necessary to see these works in a related situation. Only through the generous participation of collectors, both private and public, could this be possible. Therefore, on behalf of all concerned with the organization of this exhibition, I would like to thank the lenders for their shared enthusiasm for the artist’s work and their contribution to the success of the exhibition.

I wish to thank Thomas H. Garver, Director of the Newport Harbor Art Museum, and the Fellows of Contemporary Art, sponsors of this exhibition, for their support and for the freedom extended to me during the organization of the show. I particularly want to thank Betty Faris, who chaired the project, for her gracious and low-keyed direction.

Foremost, I want to thank Vija Celmins, who made me wait six years until she agreed the time was right for a survey of past work. She is a remarkable person who cannot tolerate any form of artificiality or personal exploitation. I prize and trust the confidence that developed during the months we worked together searching out and selecting the work. It has been a most fulfilling experience and well worth the wait.

Betty Turnbull
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New York
Trace the gold sun about the whitened sky
Without evasion by a single metaphor.
Look at it in its essential barrenness
And say this, this is the centre that I seek.
Fix it in an eternal foliage

Wallace Stevens
"Credences of Summer" (1946)

In a place where the reality of art takes unto itself and transforms the imagery of nature, Vija Celmins has created a quiet space where tension and serenity achieve a carefully fashioned accommodation with each other. Hers is an art charged with emotion held in firm, exquisite control. Self-evolving and self-questioning, Celmins probes the identity of the pictured image, its existence within the graphite surface of a drawing, as a work of sculpture in real space, as an object on a painted plane.

A vast expanse of the ocean’s surface, the half-remembered terror of a World War II airplane crashing to earth, the loud report of a revolver shot at point-blank range, find their way into her work and are caught in the gray stillness of her pictorial world. These disparate images measure the range of her emotional sphere, and in the course of her evolution during the past fifteen years they seem to have converged, to have lent their tension and steadiness to the penetrating focus of her eye, trained intently upon the borders of time, space, and her awareness of their mutability.

Hers is an eye which measures space, then recalculates it against the pictorial plane only to adjust and refocus it again so that it may exist in the real space of the viewer’s world. In Celmins’ work, space exists on a sliding scale which must be apprehended and measured with the body as well as the mind. At times, like Alice, we may walk through the looking glass to touch the tangible artifacts of a projected world. Entering the dense, acutely articulated space of her drawings, we experience an abstract realm where each stroke of graphite brings another portion of the image into existence on the picture plane, accumulating stroke by stroke until it is heavy with incident yet continuous and unified, like the firm skin of a carved and polished object.
Everywhere we sense Celmins' drive to focus the experience, draining the image of color, compressing or expanding its physical and psychological space, opening up a seemingly infinite scale of grays and an intense level of pictorial incident, keeping each aspect of presentation, each successive decision, firmly in hand. Emotion and intellect, amplifying and informing each other, establish a continuing dialogue in her work. Graphic images of violence, intimate views of the surface of the moon, the shifting, restless movements of the ocean are here in Celmins' work, but she has taken possession of them, brought them into the inward space of her own sensibility. It is this process more than any other aspect of her work, the transformative nature of it, which has made her imagery so potent, so satisfying in its self-sufficient presence.

This retrospective exhibition will amplify our understanding of the depth and continuity of her work. In it we see an artist who is firmly in control of her means, acutely aware of the otherness of the work of art. At the same time, her work and her world are grounded in real experiences, perceived and understood, rendered with a disciplined intensity which is guided by intuition and personal need. The intensity we see and feel in this work is the tenderly ordered projection of human emotion. We sense this in her near-seismographic touch of pencil to paper, in the careful polish of a sculpted surface, in the deceptive but convincing congruence of her pairs of real and painted stones. No teller of stories, no investigator of styles, she is an artist who dwells in that human awareness which erases distinctions of then and now, reality and illusion, focusing upon a transcendent present where all are held in the state of equilibrium which is the work of art.

* * *

Vija Celmins was born in Riga, Latvia, in 1939, where she and her family lived during the early days of World War II. As the war drew to a close and their city was severely threatened, her family fled from Riga into the Eastern Sector of Germany, then westward until they reached the town of Esslingen near Stuttgart. Celmins' memories of the war years are those of childhood but they are still vivid. Her family came by ship to the United States in 1949 and settled in Indianapolis, Indiana. Here she grew up and spent the period from age ten through her graduation from art school.

A talented art student, she enrolled in the John Herron Art School in Indianapolis. Her courses at the John Herron were supplemented by occasional trips to Chicago and New York with friends from school. She recalled these trips in terms of the art she experienced at this time: "Indiana was isolated, so I didn't have a sophisticated upbringing in terms
of art; it was whatever you could find for yourself. We used to go to Chicago to see contemporary art and we also went to New York several times. I got to see de Kooning's works and Kline and Johns. And I saw Jackson Pollock's work, which I hated and later came to love so much. . . I used to hate Pollock and then I just started seeing Pollock. I just began to see it and found the joy and control that was there. Then I began to understand some of it.”1

A turning point in her young career came in 1961 when Celmins went to the Yale University summer session in Norfolk, Connecticut. At the time, she was working in an Abstract Expressionist style full of vivid color and biomorphic, clearly drawn forms reminiscent of the late work of Gorky. At the Yale Summer School, Celmins came into contact with older artists from New York, among them Jack Tworkov and also, significantly, some of the finest young artists of her own generation, Chuck Close, Brice Marden, and David Novros, who were fellow students at the Yale Summer School.

As she later observed, “In this kind of community I realized that it was possible to go on.” She also recognized that to go on would require the stimulation of a larger environment. She decided to come to Los Angeles to study at U.C.L.A. even though she had never been to the West Coast and had little idea of its character and of the art being produced here. Arriving in Los Angeles, she found a large storefront studio on Venice Boulevard, seven blocks from the ocean, which would be hers for the next thirteen years.

After one year in Los Angeles, during which she continued to paint in a gestural abstract style, Celmins experienced a crisis in her work, a break in its continuity, during a period of extreme self-doubt. She stopped working for a time, despite the fact that she was expected to take part in the academic program at the university. “It became decorative for me, meaningless for me. I could make something that looked really nice but it was meaningless. So I quit.”

Starting over, she created a controlled environment for herself and set about painting life-size images of the household objects in her studio: her T.V. set, a double gooseneck lamp, a chair, fish heads on a kitchen plate, a glowing heater, a hotplate. These intense, strange gray-toned paintings with their centered images and ambiguous backgrounds parallel the presentational attitude of Jasper Johns, who places the viewer in a problematic but compelling confrontation with familiar objects seen in a new context. Celmins admired this and other aspects of Johns, especially his ability to
"eliminate design," as she has remarked. "I also liked the surface tension he had in relation to the object."

Many of Celmins' object paintings were done in two versions, each with slight variations in placement and expression. The lamp, hotplate, and heater exist in curious isolation, apart from the space which surrounds them, awkwardly positioned against an unmarked, indefinite gray plane. They owe as much to her admiration of the still life paintings of Morandi and the tonal tradition in painting from Velasquez to Johns as they do to the immediate circumstances of the middle sixties in California. However, at the time, they appeared to find their place in the context of California Pop Art and were listed in the anthology, Pop Art, edited by Lucy Lippard in 1966, where one writer observed: "The isolation of the object against empty background makes for comedy, in this instance, rather than Surrealist effects; most are warmly domestic pictures, and even the pistol has a fireside coziness about it."

At the time, perhaps, they appeared so, but these early paintings are clearly a prelude to the silent drama of Celmins' scenes of violence and disaster which were to be created in the following year, 1965. Moreover, these switched-on hotplates and steaming coffeepots are, in their gray isolation, a direct reflection of the reality of Celmins' situation, working far away from home in a large, virtually unheated studio space where the glow of an electric appliance had not a humorous but a very real physical meaning.

A friend left a small unloaded revolver with Celmins for safekeeping. It, too, became another object in the studio but one with different implications. She painted two versions of a hand holding the revolver as a shot is fired and smoke disperses into the gray atmosphere of the painting. Hers was not to be a detached, analytical attitude toward an object as an element in a formal arrangement but a projective, imaginative one which would add to, interpret, and relocate the object in a broader psychological and physical context. It was at this point that the work of the Surrealists, especially Magritte, began to have added meaning for her.

Alone in Los Angeles, Celmins went through several years of introspection, recalling the war years of her childhood in Germany and the disastrous aftermath of the war. She recalled, "I had been collecting clippings. I would roam around Los Angeles. I didn't know anybody and I got little war books because it was kind of nostalgic." In 1965 these images appeared on the walls and roofs of two small-scale wooden houses, one which was adapted from a house on the Venice Circle and another which was a replica of a salt box style farmhouse of Indiana. Carefully constructed out of wood, yet
sparing of detail, the two-story Venice house is engulfed by urban twentieth
century disasters. On its roof a pistol shot is being fired by a hand barely
visible through the smoking report of the revolver. On its main facade a
plane crashes to earth, leaving a vapor trail in its wake. This small, fragmented
plane is deftly attached to the outer face of Celmins' gray house while its
shadow and small airplane parts fall across the painted surface. A steaming
locomotive crosses the shorter face of the building, an image reminiscent of
de Chirico but staged so as to confront the viewer directly. The roof of
this house lifts off to reveal a fur-lined interior. Thus, her gray, silent, box-like
structure is the controlling element for a host of violent encounters, each
abstracted, clearly not illusionistic, but emotionally compelling.

Recalling her habit of the previous year of creating two related
but dissimilar works on the same theme, she constructed a salt
box style farmhouse, its outer walls covered by the abundant
clouds of a calm midwestern summer sky. However, the
ultimate disruption of this pastoral image is projected upon its
roof, the eventual demise of the house in an all-consuming fire.
In each of these house constructions, Celmins willfully and
dramatically shifts scale, perspective, context. Her projected
clouds and walls-as-windows recall the brilliant conceptual
manipulations of Magritte while the specificity of her imagery,
the autobiographical nature of it, adds the authentic accent
of her own emotional involvement.

Other clippings provided scenes from World War II, airplanes, American,
German, Japanese. Distant memories from childhood were renewed by her
perusal of the old magazines for sale as collectors' items in some Los Angeles
shops. Model airplanes provided a three-dimensional link with the past,
even while their small, shiny forms worked inevitable changes in her
sense of time and scale.

In Celmins' painting of 1965 and 1966 she translated several of these
clippings onto the familiar softly painted gray plane of her earlier object
paintings. The clippings offered another situation of direct confrontation
with an image. Unlike the objects in her studio their immediate reality was
less secure; many were printed in cloudy half-tones further blunted by the
passage of time. They had, however, an intrinsic drama, almost too powerful
to be contained within the blurred gray information of the printed page.
Her use of the clippings fixed the image on the plane, bringing it into the
realm of the controlled and the pictorial. Celmins set about creating a new
equation, to find a state of focused, modulated tension between subject and
form, figure and ground, the reality of the painted surface and the reality of
the pictured image. Her "Flying Fortress," "German Plane," and "Suspended
Plane, an American jet aloft with severe cracks in its falling tail section, are all paintings in which her desire to confront an isolated, tangible object has been translated into a new dramatic context.

She also painted two versions of an automobile disaster, an old Ford, driver dead, the car frame riddled with bullet holes. In its terrible stillness it is an appropriate metaphor for this entire period of her work, the record of a violent incident which is now over, reverberating with the aftershock of the mind’s emotional grasp of the event and its implications.

In yet another shift of context during the following year, Celmins brought her pictured world of objects into the real world with overscale three-dimensional re-creations of familiar schoolroom objects, Pink Pearl erasers and a small lead pencil. She saw these as essentially pictorial works related to painting but using the context of a real environment to complete the picture. “They are like visions. I did a Pink Pearl eraser . . . I painted all the gray spots, all the little light spots, so that when you looked at it you saw an instant vision of a certain time on a certain day, a particular eraser. I had one in my desk at grade school. It is easy to recall what it felt like, what it smelled like. And here is a pencil, one of those little yellow pencils you have in school.”

Several years later she made a larger-than-life-size tortoise-colored comb. Leaning against a wall, it is approximately six feet high, a three-dimensional realization of the vision Magritte had painted in “Personal Values,” a painting Celmins knew and admired.

The degree of illusion in this work is highly effective if one encounters these objects in a normal room environment. However, illusionism is not the point and quickly gives way to an awareness of the artifice involved. Still uncomfortable with the emotional suggestiveness of color, Celmins painted her yellow pencil gray. It is the magic of artifice, however, which fascinated her, the ability to materialize a vision in real space. “I think I had the feeling that I was a magician for a while . . . In retrospect they look a bit like Pop Art.” Perhaps, but the worn and softened edges of Celmins’ erasers, the strange reality of the enormous comb, their remarkable familiarity touch a level of semiconscious awareness of such visual and tactile experiences, particularly vivid in young children. It is to this awareness, and our memory of it, that her objects are addressed, not to the brash world of Pop consumerism.
Still more dramatic subjects entered her work in 1966, this time in color. She painted the scene of a man escaping from the burning wreck of an automobile. In its terror and high color it is the most explicit of her paintings up to this time, a work in which the energy of the image is unleashed and allowed free rein in the painting. This was a brief episode, followed by still another period of change and introspection during which another breakthrough occurred.

By 1967 Celmins was teaching at the University of California at Irvine as an instructor of painting and drawing. Several times each week she made the long freeway drive from Venice to Irvine and became intrigued by the views of the highway she experienced across the windshield. She set up a small camera on the dashboard and began to take photographs along her regular route. The photographs were not ends in themselves but the first stage in fixing an image in order to reconstruct and recalculate it within the format of the painting.

“Freeway” of 1967 is the earliest of these paintings done from her own photographs, seen in a warm to cool modulated range of grays, yet clearly seen and vividly suggestive of the dissolving perspectives and wide peripheral spaces of highway driving. In order to come to grips with the painting one has to measure real space against the painted space of the dashboard in the foreground, to find the horizon line in one’s own field of vision, in short, to adjust the pictured reality of the highway to the dimensions of the real world. Celmins began to see that scale and the placement of the image in the format of the work were essential decisions, ones which would engage her attention in subsequent work.

Her relationship to the photograph would also be richly problematic. Celmins speaks of “re-inventing” the photograph, a process which goes far beyond adjusting or changing its subject matter. The photograph enables her to fix an image on a plane, but being deeply involved with the materials of drawing and painting she is aware that the surface emulsion of the photograph does not share the tactile, physical qualities of paint or graphite and has qualities of its own. Unlike a photorealist painter who seeks to exploit the surface shine, crispness, and focal variations of the photograph, Celmins seeks primarily to exploit the photograph’s ability to fix and hold an entire field of imagery upon a plane. She then translates or “re-invents” the photographic image in terms of the new material she is using, for example, pigment, graphite, allowing herself to explore its intrinsic qualities rather than to mimic in an illusionistic way the particular characteristics of the photographic emulsion and surface. One can sense an affinity with the disciplined severity of Chuck Close, who also re-invents his photographic
image, changing scale, restricting himself to a narrow range of grays while declaring the otherness of the painting, its own physical existence, by the obvious presence of his brushstroke and his underlying grid.

Within a group of drawings of 1968, Celmins also makes one aware of the presence of the clippings she had collected and used in her paintings of the past several years. Placed inside the borders of the composition, they exist as two-dimensional carriers of illusionistic information. Working on the fine-grained surface of a white acrylic ground, Celmins began to exploit the tactile qualities of graphite, the pencil itself, soft and luminous yet also crisp and incisive. Thus, she began her continuing dialogue between the image and its physical presence in the medium of graphite. Her subject matter in these drawings directly parallels that of her paintings, an airplane falling to earth, a devastating media photograph of the city of Hiroshima after the impact of the atomic bomb. In the midst of this imagery, full of incident and illusion, Celmins stressed her own plastic involvement with her medium, the graphite pencil on paper. "... The images I work with tend to form a unit. One of the reasons I used images at all was that I gave up color and I didn't want to invent little marks. I was interested in working with space and flatness. The image has an illusionistic quality that is built into it. But it is not done by my manipulation of the image. All the manipulation I do has to do with flatness. The image implies that there is a space, but all the things I do to it have to do with the here and now of the paper, the pencil, and the flat plane."

These drawings of 1968 are remarkable in several significant respects. In them Celmins achieves a high degree of illusionism without resorting to a drastic light-to-dark tonal range or a calligraphic shorthand. Her touch is steady and even, as she slowly places a fine-grained skin of graphite over the surface of the acrylic ground. These two surfaces meet and mesh calmly, lending a sense of stillness and order to her work.

Her method is somewhat akin to that of the nineteenth century French artist Georges Seurat, whose wonderful Conté crayon drawings seem to envelop the world of his vision in a cloud of light and tone. We are able to read his drawings as fine-grained, darkened surfaces and, quite incredibly, as three-dimensional forms in space. So, too, in Celmins' drawing does the firm quietude of her surface simultaneously support and deny the illusion of the image.

In 1968, photographs of the lunar surface were taken by the Russian satellite
Luna 9 and published in the press. Celmins found these lunar landscapes fascinating in their texture and density; they stimulated her to make several drawings which prompted a radical reconsideration of her format. She placed one of these early lunar photographs upon another of the same image. A smaller version appeared as a clipping or an insert on top of a larger version seen as a field. It is perhaps an unresolved work and clearly an intermediate stage in her consideration of various formats, but in it she introduced important shifts of visual focus as the resolution or sharpness of her own image changed with its reduction and expansion. In a second drawing based upon the same photograph, Celmins eliminated the insert, declaring the plane of her drawing as a continuous field. As she remarked, “I went to the edges of the whole piece now, and I finally realized that I could have a single image without having something in the middle.”

Celmins also explored a more legible photograph of the lunar landscape taken by an American satellite, a vast diagonal panorama marked by elliptical craters, ridges, and valleys. Here, in a complex manipulation of the image, she doubled it, causing a radical dislocation of the picture plane. Within this drawing, Celmins achieved that balance of clarity and tone, intimacy and scale for which her work is known.

Living in the seaside community of Venice, adjacent to Santa Monica and the urban continuum which makes up Los Angeles, Celmins studied the Pacific Ocean from Venice Pier, a city-owned pier used by casual fishermen and families. It offers an undisturbed view of the ocean. Venice Pier is seldom crowded and on its slender boardwalk one seems surrounded by the ocean on all sides. During the late 1960’s Celmins recalled going down to Venice Pier almost every evening, frequently taking photographs out across the endless expanse of water.

Unusually sensitive to spatial experiences, she had attempted to evoke her kinesthetic perception of freeway driving within a static frame in “Freeway” of 1967. Now addressing her attention to the vast plane of the ocean, she became less obviously involved with literal movement in space and more concerned with a sense of dislocated, shifting deep space, something she had already begun to explore in her two lunar landscapes. Discovering a unique and very subtle spatial construct within her own environment, and perhaps also imposing it, she was able to register a sense
of depth and planarity, of far and near, of the specific and the inspecific, in a single image.

This spatial quality is known to those who understand the special characteristics of the landscape of the western United States where deserts present a panoramic yet finely articulated planar surface and the living presence of the Pacific Ocean indelibly alters one's sense of space and light. Speaking of this, Celmins remarked, "The one thing I got from Los Angeles is a kind of spatial interest that is not like that of a New York artist. In the work I tried to focus back into space, you read it all over, and then it solidifies, projects out. You can’t just go up and read it; you have to stand back and find your relationship to the work... Every little mark I made was a mark that fit with the image and fit with the surface and fit with the illusion."

Late in 1968 and throughout 1969, Celmins settled down to a single format and brought her photographs of the ocean into the studio. She began to draw on a primed paper made smooth and responsive by the addition of a light acrylic ground. Her small $3\frac{1}{2}$ by 5 inch photographs provided a point of reference, a way of positioning the image on the plane. When one compares Celmins' photographs to the related drawings, however, differences are more apparent than similarities. Her drawings are more vivid, closer to the plane; the tone and density of the graphite is apparent as one reads the surface. In many of the drawings she has altered and cropped the image to refocus it, to achieve a state of greater compression, of energy held in a state of tense equilibrium. There is also compression in the scale of the drawing, bringing a vast panorama closer to human scale so that it may be examined in a new, strangely intimate manner. Her own description of the experience of the western landscape might be applied to the spatial construct of these drawings. "It focuses back into space, you can read it all over, and then it solidifies and projects out."

From drawing to drawing she explored another variable, the grain of the graphite itself, changing pencils, moving all the way from the unyielding precision of 8H to the softness of 3B, each having a different texture, weight, and darkness. Using the same pencil throughout the creation of a single drawing, Celmins established a skin of graphite on the surface of the paper, working from one corner across the page and out the opposite corner. We read not individual pencil marks but light and dark tonal passages; the image seems not to be drawn but calmly and carefully laid on the surface.

The oceans, with their shifting planes, spatial rhythms, variations in tone and expression, record the variable touch and mood of their creator. Like the ocean itself, ever in flux yet soothing in its permanence, the act of drawing
became the focus of the emotional energy so vividly present in Celmins' earlier work. She did not, however, seek out unusual views of the ocean nor try to record obvious contrasts between turbulent and calm surfaces. Her images lie in that intermediate but far more believable and interesting state when the ocean is active on several levels, its surface reacting to the pull of tides and the unseen rhythms of its depths. Her drawings of this period were widely admired, although they were frequently misread as literal photorealistic works despite their active surfaces.

The ocean drawings of 1968 and 1969 are fields of energy recording the activity of her hand and eye as they move across an unbroken plane. One can see an affinity to the work of Jackson Pollock, the control he was able to achieve while establishing his continuous field, his unified plane. In her own discussion of Pollock, Celmins remarked upon both the control and the joy in his work, emphasizing those aspects of the work-in-progress which registered the artist's awareness of his own activity.

Deeply conscious of the spatial shifts and varying densities of her own drawing, Celmins started to work with the problem of real space, that of the viewer in relation to the drawing. Just as one adjusts the focus of a camera lens to find the correct distance from an object, the viewer is obliged to come to a spatial accommodation with a work of art. For example, an Impressionist painting will appear crisply definite or softened and indefinite when viewed at varying distances. Some works of art, particularly those associated with architectural spaces, are created to be viewed at a distance. Others offer levels of articulation; it is possible to pick up one type of information at a distance, additional detail at closer range. Still others, some of the work of Matisse and certain hard-edge paintings, for example, remain remarkably constant; their impact is meant to be experienced at a distance; little additional information is available on the surface of the painting.

Having a photographic referent, Celmins has built a distinct spatial range into her work. Her images read as dense, sharp fields from a certain distance; they soften and lose focus at closer range, making one conscious of their material reality, of the graphite on paper. This experience is more pronounced in Celmins' work than is usual with most works of art. Again, the drawings of Seurat may serve as a useful analogue; they are barely legible at close range yet intensely sensuous and physical. They retain their sensuous qualities but gain the clarity of three-dimensional forms when viewed at a distance.

In 1969 and 1970 Celmins began another period of investigation, expanding,
stretching laterally, at times also reducing, her format. She wanted to locate the horizon line of the image with relation to the eye level of the viewer. In two drawings of 1969 she expanded the scale of her image, almost doubling it from its initial 12 1/4 by 17 1/2 inches to 26 by 37 inches. This expansion made the graphite surface even more prominent, and she adjusted the density of the image in order to retain control, tightening it somewhat and keeping it very much intact.

In two unusual ocean drawings of 1971 and 1971-72, she very subtly laid fine string in the form of an X across the plane as she worked on the image. It interrupted the progress of her pencil across the page so imperceptibly that it is barely noticeable until one senses a slight spatial dislocation in each piece. This X, of course, marks the white ground of the planar surface as a subliminal reminder of its presence. At this point, also, her space began to tighten, to intensify; individual waves became smaller, their spatial intervals quicker.

Exploring the varying densities of graphite pencils, Celmins took a single view of the ocean through seven distinct tonal steps in two eight inch by eight foot drawings produced in 1972-73. She recalled her feelings at the time: “As I became involved with graphite I began to notice the pencil; the graphite was telling me a lot of things. I would pick up a pencil and work it down until it was useless. I would notice that if another day I picked up another pencil there was a difference... I explored this in a series of scales... I hit each one like a tone; the graphite itself had an expressive quality. I continued using the graphite in this way in a series of elongated oceans and then a series of galaxies.”

In many respects, Celmins’ exploration of varying formats appears to have been prompted by her desire to repress the illusionistic qualities of the image, to control it, to remind the viewer of its flatness, its physical presence. The next year, 1973, she produced a group of six large drawings in an elongated horizontal format. She brought the image even further in from the edge of the composition. Within this series she quite literally presented a three-dimensional spatial experience on a two-dimensional plane.

Although the limitless expanse of the ocean, its planarity and depth, offers a real image which coincides with and to some extent has informed Celmins’ spatial sensibility, she is not, in the end, a painter or recorder of oceans. Instead, she has called them “a record of mindfulness,” stressing the importance of the process, the dialogue between change and constancy,
those variations of hand and mind which record a moment in time and one's state of awareness of the act of drawing itself.

Finding equivalent spatial experiences in several other contexts, Celmins began to draw the floor of the desert and the even more elusive spatial realms of constellations and galaxies. In recent years she has looked for those unmarked spaces of nature which are remote from the imprint of civilization. These are the images of her recent work, the ocean, the desert, the silent space of galaxies.

Celmins' deserts are created from her own photographs taken on numerous trips to the Mojave Desert near Death Valley, which lies northeast of Los Angeles. Walking on the desert floor, looking downward, she has recorded a continuous plane marked by the fine grain of the earth's texture, many stones, and the intense light of the sun across its surface. Celmins' photographs of the desert are so completely the record of her own sensibility that in them it is obvious how her photography is integrated into the process of image-making, how her control extends to each step, every decision.

Scanning the surface of Celmins' photographs and drawings of the desert, one is inevitably drawn into them. Having little idea of scale or distance, but being totally aware of the scale and size of the drawing, one begins to read the macrocosm in the microcosm of these intense fragments of earth. It is often said that Celmins conditions our experience of her imagery, invests it with an unsettling power, a sense of being at one with the limitlessness of nature. Her spaces are far from the cozy corners of normal experience and yet they are believable, allowing access to similar real and imagined spatial experiences.

Celmins' unique qualities have to do with the manner of her seeing, the obsessiveness of it and also its abstraction. An examination of her drawings of the desert, stone by stone, mark by mark, reveals the dense interior of the drawings. One can, upon entering them, erase normal perceptions of time and place. This must have also been so in their making, hence Celmins' personal fascination with the processes of drawing itself. Our eyes seem to stumble on the larger stones; although they are intimate in scale they abruptly interrupt the surface, pulling it forward into three dimensions. Discovering detail upon detail, we are also aware of the uniqueness of each grain, each stone, its angle of placement, brittleness or softness, all suggested but not completely described in the textures of Celmins' graphite.

Within her constellations and galaxies we have a somewhat different
experience. It is not one of specificity, a gathering of detail, but more completely one of abstraction. Here the textural qualities of the graphite are given freer rein, are compelled to carry far more information. These are tonal drawings, soft-edged, dense, indefinite. Celmins’ graphite marks are so fine as to blend together. They lack directional emphasis, appearing to move as random particles colliding and clinging to one another. In 1974 she took a single image, that of the Coma Bernices galaxy, through many variations until they became, at their darkest, in Celmins’ words, “so solid, like black tablets. . . .”

Finding the initial image for this group of galaxies and constellations in a media photograph, Celmins began to seek out books and magazines on astronomy. During a trip to the observatory at the California Institute of Technology in Pasadena she discovered that there were other sources providing deeper photographic views into distant galaxies. These black and white photographs taken of star clusters many millions of light years from earth had a spatial dimension and a surface density which paralleled but extended the spatial experiences she had explored in the ocean and desert images.

The profound darkness of these planes interrupted by glowing pinpoints of light presented an extraordinarily complex problem of translation into graphite. The surface must be dense yet alive, the sharp breaks of light and dark achieved with a calm but rapid transition from black through many grays to white. The image must remain whole despite the existence of numerous scattered points of light across the plane. Once again, the “skin” of the graphite, its continuous texture, its unbroken steady surface, tense, hovering on the plane, brought a level of abstraction to the image. Celmins’ transitions from black through gray to white are so deftly and tenderly drawn that they seem to equal the delicate filtering properties of light itself.

In Celmins’ most recent work her concerns and unique strengths have become more apparent, more clearly defined. We can see important differences between the initial roughness of her early ocean drawings, the softened textures of the desert floors, and the fine-as-vapor graphite of the galaxies. The simple intentions of the trompe l’oeil illusionist pale in comparison with Celmins’ profound awareness of the inevitable otherness, the separateness of art from life. Speaking of this relationship of the image to the work of art, she remarked, “You want to keep it still. Everything else is moving. I think art ought to be still.”

In this world of stillness she has created, there are many marvels. Celmins has conceptualized the act of drawing while evolving for it a most beautiful
physical state. She has grown slowly, intelligently, into an artist of remarkable independence and maturity. Her work is of this time, this place, this generation, yet somehow out of the continuous flux of style and the imperatives of change. Perhaps a crucial distinction, one which distinguishes a major artist, is her emphasis upon evolution, building through time, at certain junctures undergoing radical change but inevitably picking up and extending the path of her own vision. The sense of timelessness in Celmins' work, in her processes of growth, in her imagery, is the ultimate source of its authenticity and power.

Susan C. Larsen
Los Angeles, California
10/18/79
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The following is a list of exhibitions initiated and sponsored by the Fellows of Contemporary Art, 1976-1979:

1976  *Ed Moses Drawings 1958-1976*
Frederick S. Wight Art Gallery
University of California, Los Angeles
July 13 - August 15, 1976
Catalogue with essay by Joseph Masheck

1977  *Unstretched Surfaces/Surfaces Libres*
Los Angeles Institute of Contemporary Art
November 5 - December 16, 1977
Catalogue with essays by Jean-Luc Bordeaux and Alfred Pacquement
First major cultural exchange between Centre National d'Art de Culture Georges Pompidou and Los Angeles
Catalogue received Graphic Arts Award from Printing Institute of America

1978  *Wallace Berman Retrospective*
Otis Art Institute Gallery
October 24 - November 25, 1978
Catalogue with essays by Robert Duncan and David Meltzer
Assisted by a grant from the National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, D.C., a Federal Agency

1979  Exhibition traveled to:
The Fort Worth Art Museum
January 10 - February 18, 1979
University Art Museum, University of California, Berkeley
September 21 - November 11, 1979
Seattle Art Museum
December 13, 1979 - January 27, 1980
This year the Fellows are pleased to initiate and sponsor, together with the Newport Harbor Art Museum and assisted by a grant from the National Endowment for the Arts, a survey of the work of Vija Celmins.

On behalf of the Fellows of Contemporary Art, I wish to thank Tom Garver, Director, Betty Turnbull, Curator, and the entire staff of the Newport Harbor Art Museum for their cooperation and enthusiasm, and Susan Larsen for her accomplished essay.

I express my gratitude to Betty Faris, Fellows’ 1979 Exhibition Chairman, for her sensitivity to others while exercising all the responsibilities inherent in coordinating this exhibition; to Gordon Hampton, member, Board of Directors, for his sound advice and legal counsel; and in particular to Kathryn Files, Chairman, Research and Fund Development, for acting as liaison between the National Endowment for the Arts, the Newport Harbor Art Museum, and the Fellows of Contemporary Art. Mrs. Files, in the most professional manner, has been instrumental in setting the highest of standards for the Fellows. Finally, I am grateful to our members for their encouragement and support.

Murray A. Gribin
Members of Fellows of Contemporary Art

Murray A. Gribin,  
Chairman of the Board
Lois P. Osborn  
Secretary
George R. Richter, Jr.  
Treasurer
Lyn S. Kienholz  
Chairman, Long Range Exhibition Planning
Laura-Lee W. Woods  
Chairman, Program and Education
Elizabeth H. Faris  
Chairman, Exhibitions
Margaret T. Phelps  
Chairman, Tours
Kathryn T. Files  
Chairman, Research and Fund Development
Grace P. Narver  
Chairman, Special Events
Nancy D. Yewell  
Chairman, Publicity
June W. Schuster  
Chairman, Membership
Judith T. Stark  
Member, Board of Directors
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Member, Board of Directors
Mr. and Mrs. Anthony Antoville
Mr. and Mrs. Horace W. Baker
Mr. and Mrs. Eaton W. Ballard
Dr. and Mrs. Robert L. Boardman
Ms. Joan N. Borinstein
Mr. and Mrs. Joseph Brashares
Dr. and Mrs. Daniel R. Burschinger
Mr. and Mrs. Gene J. Burton
Mr. and Mrs. William Ross Cabeen
Mr. and Mrs. Kenneth J. Campbell
Mr. and Mrs. Robert Carlson
Dr. Jae Carmichael
Mrs. Dolly Bright Carter
Mr. and Mrs. Paul W. Case
Mr. and Mrs. Augustus Castro
Mr. and Mrs. Robert C. Clark
Dr. and Mrs. Charles G. Cochrane
Mr. and Mrs. Harry W. Colmery, Jr.
Mrs. Marjorie Marinou Crandall
Mrs. Madeleine R. Cury
Mr. and Mrs. James Dean
Mr. and Mrs. George Epstein
Mr. Alfred M. Esberg
Mr. and Mrs. Marvin Faris
Dr. and Mrs. Jack M. Farris
Mrs. Elliott Field
Hon. and Mrs. Gordon L. Files
Mr. and Mrs. Daniel E. Foley
Mr. and Mrs. Merrill R. Francis
Mrs. Betty Freeman
Mrs. Robert G. Freeman
Dr. and Mrs. Herman F. Froeb
Mr. and Mrs. Philip Gersh
Mr. and Mrs. Arthur N. Greenberg
Mr. and Mrs. James C. Greene
Mr. and Mrs. Murray A. Gribin
Mr. and Mrs. Nelson S. Gustin
Bron D. Hafner and Ruth Askey
Mr. Robert H. Halff
Mr. Gordon F. Hampton
Ms. Evelyn Hitchcock
Mr. and Mrs. Alexander P. Hixon
Mr. and Mrs. John F. Hotchkis
Rear Adm. and Mrs. Louis H. Hunte
Ms. Lyn S. Kienholz
Mr. and Mrs. Frank Kockritz
Mr. and Mrs. Robert B. Krueger
Dr. and Mrs. Gerald W. Labiner
Mr. and Mrs. E. Laird Landon
Dr. and Mrs. Eldridge L. Lasell
Mr. Edwin A. Lipps
Dr. and Mrs. John E. Lusche
Mrs. Alathena Miller and Mr. Robert Bieber
Mr. D. Harry Montgomery
Mr. and Mrs. Richard L. Narver
Dr. and Mrs. Robert M. Newhouse
Mrs. Jack L. Oatman
Mr. and Mrs. Bob Ray Offenhuaser
Mr. and Mrs. George E. Osborn
Mrs. Leona Pattiz and Mr. Robert S. Beaver
Mr. and Mrs. Frank H. Person
Mr. and Mrs. Mason Phelps
Mr. and Mrs. John Rex
Mr. and Mrs. George R. Richter, Jr.
Mr. and Mrs. Gerry Rosentswieg
Mrs. June W. Schuster
Mrs. Joan Simon
Mr. and Mrs. Russell Dymock Smith
Mr. and Mrs. Howard Smits
Mr. and Mrs. Milton R. Stark
Mr. David H. Steinmetz
Mr. and Mrs. Stanford H. Taylor
Mrs. Norton S. Walbridge
Dr. and Mrs. Donald E. Wallens
Mr. and Mrs. Frederick R. Weisman
Mr. and Mrs. George Wick
Mr. and Mrs. Toby F. K. Wilcox
Mr. and Mrs. Maurice B. Wilson
Miss Carla R. Witt
Mr. and Mrs. Robert J. Woods, Jr.
Ms. Marion M. Worthington
Mr. and Mrs. George T. Yewell
Ms. Billie K. Youngblood
Newport Harbor Art Museum
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Mrs. Frank Rhodes, Jr.,
Secretary
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Warren D. Hancock
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Harry S. Rinker
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Mrs. Ygal P. Sonenshine
Roland R. Speers
Mrs. Richard Steele
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Herbert Sutton
David S. Tappan, Jr.
Mrs. Charles Ullman
Mrs. Donald K. Washburn
Ernest C. Wilson, Jr.
Thomas C. Wolff, Jr.
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Past President
Mrs. Tony Delap,
Docent Council
Mrs. Jack H. deKruijf,
Museum Council
Mrs. Judith Sledge,
Sales and Rental Council
Mrs. Lucille Kuehn,
Acquisition Council
Mrs. Richard H. Winckler,
Trustee Emeritus

Museum Staff

Thomas H. Garver,
Director
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Curator of Exhibitions and Collections
Phyllis Lutjeans,
Curator of Education
Julie Helfrich,
Assistant Curator of Education
Gary Wheelock,
Director of Development and
Community Relations
Chris Klein,
Administrative Assistant for Development
Jean Smock,
Administrative Assistant to the Director
Sue Henger,
Registrar/Editor
Barbara Nollman,
Secretary to the Director
Rita Shakir
Curatorial Secretary

Edythe Mannes,
Development Secretary
Ursula Cyga,
Membership Secretary
Joyce Sahagen,
Accountant
Luis De La Cruz,
Acting Technical Services Supervisor
Mark Romay,
Preparator
Kathy Orr,
Receptionist
Mabel Condry,
Restaurant Manager
Sally Rainwater,
Bookshop Manager
Ed Nelson,
Chief Security Manager