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The Fellows of Contemporary Art (FOCA) take great pleasure in collaborating with The Studio Museum in Harlem to present Kori Newkirk: 1937-2007. This is FOCA’s first opportunity to begin an exhibition outside California, and therefore represents a significant enhancement of our mission. In thirty-five exhibitions over thirty-two years, FOCA has been instrumental in supporting and documenting the work of emerging and mid-career California artists. Eleanor Antin, Wallace Berman, Vija Celmins and George Stone have each been presented in past FOCA sponsored exhibitions. FOCA has presented a wide variety of other exhibitions by curators as diverse as Julia Brown, Howard Fox, Henry Hopkins and Ralph Rugoff. Among our partners in this endeavor have been the Los Angeles County Museum of Art, Museum of Contemporary Art Los Angeles, San Francisco Museum of Modern Art and Hammer Museum at the University of California, Los Angeles.

The present collaboration is especially significant to FOCA as a Los Angeles-based institution because, to quote Thelma Golden, “Los Angeles functions as the inciting incident in his [Newkirk’s] work. As an informed outsider, his art practice is inspired by the often confusing, distant, fractured existence that so defines life in a new city. He told me he didn’t know who he was in L.A.: hero or villain, savior or menace, hyperpresent or invisible.” The catalogue accompanying this exhibition is the first major publication devoted to Newkirk’s work and greatly enhances FOCA’s mission to document an artist’s work at critical points in his or her career.

I would first like to thank the curator of this exhibition, Thelma Golden, for her thoughtful and insightful consideration of Newkirk’s place in the current discourse on contemporary art. I would like to thank Newkirk himself for his cooperation and for his ongoing support of FOCA’s mission.

I would like to thank the Board of The Studio Museum in Harlem and Lowery Stokes Sims, former Adjunct Curator for the Permanent Collection. Christine Kim, Associate Curator, and Lauren Haynes, Curatorial Assistant, were invaluable in making this a smooth-running team.

Numerous Fellows helped bring this exhibition to fruition. Thanks go to past Chair of Long Range Exhibition Planning Peggy Lewak and her committee members, and to FOCA Immediate Past Chair Rubin Turner, Stacen Berg and Karl Erickson, FOCA Directors, for which we are grateful. Thanks to the energy and enthusiasm of all those listed above; it has been an effortless pleasure to serve as exhibition liaison for Kori Newkirk: 1937-2007.

FOCA is unique in that most of the support we give is derived from our members’ dues. It is only through our members’ support that we are able to bring exhibitions such as this to life. Very special appreciation and thanks go to the members of the Fellows of Contemporary Art.

GeoFF TuCk / FOCA Chair (2007-08)
www.focala.org
THELMA GOLDEN IN CONVERSATION WITH KORI NEWKIRK
Kori Newkirk: 1997–2007 is a survey tracing the past ten years of your studio practice and development. So let’s start in the studio. Do you think about work you’ve made in the past when you’re making new work?

I keep a lot of documentation of past work up on the studio walls. So I look at it and reference it occasionally. Sometimes I try to recall what I was thinking about and look at how I solved certain problems, and occasionally this helps me solve a current problem. A lot of times I’m just remembering how I got from there to there to here.

So how did you get from there to here? And describe to me what “here” is now. Where are you right now as an artist?

Right now, I feel like I’m in a total state of transition and it’s really exciting. I have this opportunity to look back over my shoulder at the past decade, which I haven’t really let myself do before. But I’m also looking ahead. What’s next is ten million times more exciting to me. I can now expand upon all of this I see in front of me. This is like the edge of the diving board. I have climbed up the ladder and moved out to the edge of the diving board, and in front of me is this much larger expanse than I think I was able to see in the past decade. I’m ready to take a plunge.

What made you become an artist?

I honestly had no choice. I couldn’t do anything else. I can’t do anything else. I think I would have just still been at home doing nothing if I didn’t do this. It was a calling and it’s been fraught with frustration and joy and pain and a lot of hard work, but it’s been absolutely wonderful. I’m always surprised at what I am able to convey, and I feel really lucky to be able to do this.

I remember the first work of yours I saw. It was towards the end of my time as a curator at the Whitney Museum, after a couple of people told me I should see your work. You sent me a package and the work I remember most was Channel 9 (1999). I was intrigued by the range of your practice, but particularly by that image. I went back to Channel 9 when I began thinking about the show that became Freestyle (2001). I realized that it intrigued and unnerved me because I didn’t have a vocabulary to describe it. The vocabulary I had become so fluent in during the late 1980s and 90s somehow dismantled around that particular image and then your practice generally. So where did you begin? What was the beginning of the conceptual journey into your work?

The earliest work in the exhibition is Modified Cadillac (Prototype #2) from 1997. I started playing with pomade near the end of graduate school. One morning I happened to open up the medicine cabinet and unscrew a jar of it that I’d brought back from England many years before. The smell was particularly strong and resonant, so I’m standing there looking in the mirror, thinking I’ll put a little in my hair. Suddenly the smell just took over. I immediately started thinking about my sister getting her hair done every Saturday morning with the hot comb in the kitchen, and me laying on the floor watching Soul Train with my brother in central New York. I thought, “Wow, that smell is automatically bringing back all these memories.” There’s got to be some way to put that out in the work, to share that. I’m not the only one who’s going to have that visceral kind of response, because I know that I’m not the only one who’s ever smelled and experienced that.

And they’re made with your hands.

Yes, they are made with my hands, smeared on the wall, and with some tools sometimes. They’re not meant to exist permanently. Prior to that, I was always really interested in the materiality of things. I played around with soap a lot as an undergraduate, trying to build things with clear glycerin soap to light up. This was after an exchange I did in England. I saw all
the earliest Rachel Whiteread works and all these other pieces that dealt with light and text and different materials, and was just blown away. I just realized that my reality is about messing with things, putting my hands in things and seeing what happens. And the idea determines the material; the idea comes first and tells me how it wants to be expressed. This is what happened with *Cadillac*. I thought, "How can I talk about this?" There was the one made from hair, and then I progressed to pomade, and then to the idea of landscapes and beads and braids. It tends to go in that way. The work tells me how it wants to be expressed.

Where do the images come from? The one you made for *Freestyle* was of a helicopter.

I thought it was interesting, and then I looked over and there were some braids. I immediately thought about Stevie Wonder in the 1970s. And there's an episode of *The Facts of Life* where Tootie has fake braids and she's a model. My mind went to seventh grade, when we were briefly living in Jacksonville, Florida, in the 1970s. I remembered seeing girls and boys with braids and wanting to have braids as well. I also thought of the beaded curtains that hung in my relatives' apartments here in the city, and to the boys with little conch shells walking up and down 125th Street. All of that flashed through my mind and I visualized some sort of image on the back of someone's head made with beads and braids. I went to the local Wal-Mart and found some beads and jury-rigged a sort of armature. I strung some beads on it. They were all white and blue and opaque and I thought I would make clouds, but it just didn't work. I thought it looked too Native American, almost too ethnic in a way that I felt I couldn't talk about. I didn't necessarily have permission to go in that direction, so I stopped and put it away for a few years. Then I had my first show (*Midnight Sun* [1999] at Rosamund Felsen Gallery in Los Angeles [Santa Monica]). It popped into my mind again that maybe it was time to figure out that idea, and from that came *Jubilee* (1999).

What exactly is *Jubilee* an image of? And how did you move from the ethnic, folksy craft that you didn't want to the hyper-visual abstraction combined with figuration that defines that work?

It is basically an image of a wall of fire, which goes with the earliest works — *Channel 9, Channel 11* ([1999]) and other
works from that time — that were about my response to living in Los Angeles.

How long had you been in L.A. at that point?

I had been in southern California since 1995, but in L.A. proper since 1997.

Where were you when the 1992 L.A. uprisings happened?

I was actually in England in a liquor store and I heard it on the radio. I was amazed, but not surprised. I knew that something like that would probably happen. I had never been to L.A., but I fully understood the idea of something like that happening. Culturally, though, my friends were not able to comprehend the idea of a civil uprising in that way.

So then you got to Los Angeles, and these works were your response to being there?

They were my response to being in Los Angeles and what I felt was my place in it. I was also teaching high school in South Central at the time. I had conversations with my students about what they called themselves, what I called myself and how they felt about their representation in L.A. It was very convoluted. They tended to see themselves as suspect on television news and that was something I could relate to even though I hadn’t been there that long. But I’m a black man in the United States and my representation is tied to that. From that came Jubilee, the first attempt at a beaded curtain. It actually looks rather pop, but that sort of just happened.

Can you explain the title?

Well, fire is sort of universal. It's a beautiful and dangerous at the same time. In a way it's very celebratory, and that's how I saw what happened. It represents a type of rage and anger and all the frustrations that caused and led up to that uprising. It also represents the idea that L.A. is always burning — literally, figuratively and in so many different ways. There's also a book, The Fires of Jubilee by Stephen B. Oates, that is about Nat Turner's slave rebellion. I kind of took the title from that, which is also interesting because there is some family history around that. I wanted to talk about the joy and sort of wonderfulness of fire, as well as the danger. It's very mixed I think.

How did the curtains develop after that time?

After Jubilee and Nowhere (1999), I put the curtains away for a year and a half or two years. There was no reason to make them. Something told me I needed to be free of the curtains. There was a lot of other work I needed to get out of my system. When I had the first opportunity to have my work shown at The Project, I thought maybe it was time to make a curtain again. I made three, but I realized at that time that the themes from the first show were no longer relevant or interesting to me in the same way. I wanted to speak about something else, identity, sort of. This turned into the narrative-based landscape, and from that point on I explored that part of my history.

I'm very intrigued by the landscapes, which seem to focus on houses, structures and the landscape that adjoins them. More recently, the images move into the pool and involve water. Tell me about both these kinds of suburban/pastoral/still life images. What inspired them? Are their references real or imagined?

They are all inspired by the reality of growing up in central New York, in a place that looks like many of those images, with green trees, cows and these weird semi-suburban spaces. They're inspired by the idea of isolation and its beauty and strangeness. In central New York, the houses and landscape actually look like that, but I don't want the images to be identified with central New York alone. They're everywhere and nowhere.
So it's like autobiography written in landscapes.

In trying to combine both sides of my upbringing – the urban side of Harlem and the Bronx, which was the weekends and the summers, and the country side of small towns upstate, which was during the school years – I have mostly based the works on landscapes in central New York because I felt that the materials were urban enough. With a few exceptions, the materials are the city and the imagery is the country.

Do you think of the curtains as paintings or sculptures or neither?

I deal with the formal language of painting, even though I sometimes consider them sculptures and sometimes consider them paintings. I prefer to think of them sculpturally, but there is definitely a strong painterly quality to them.

When did you actually work in paint?

A long, long time ago, mostly as an undergrad. But I fought it at that time too. I've always considered myself a non-painting painter who sometimes makes paintings, but with absolutely no paint.

What kind of paintings did you make?

I made very conceptual, slightly abstract paintings.

Were they good?

I think they were pretty darn good. People told me they were pretty darn good.
And why did you stop making them?

I was surrounded by people doing tenth-generation Abstract Expressionism, and it just drove me up the wall. The romantic notion of what it was to be a painter was being flung back and forth around me. I found it abhorrent.

Going back to the curtains – how do you make them? Do you start with preparatory drawings?

No. Most of the time I take, find or appropriate an image, or I just run around with a camera. Once I find the image, or when the image tells me that it needs to be a curtain, I run it through the computer briefly. There’s no editing at that point, no computer tricks. I just resize it to the size of the potential curtain. I create a transparency, which I then project onto a large piece of paper, and I make a cartoon from that – a very, very loose line drawing. At that point, I put the aluminum with the braids strung right in front of the paper, and then I start beading from the bottom. When I reference the picture, it’s not always exact, so things can change. It’s a very labor-intensive process.

You also work in other media and I’d like to talk about some of the other bodies of work you’ve created. There’s a lot of work involving basketball. While it’s easy for me to read these through the simple tropes of black masculinity, how do you see this body of work?

That’s what it does in a way – you’re right. Beyond all of that, I’m tall black man, and naturally it has always been assumed that basketball is my sport. It’s not.

Are you a fan?

I’m not a fan.
about my ambivalence and desire not to play basketball. I'm not a part of it, but it's assumed that I am, and that's why one of the first works in that series was Assumption (2000). That title gives it all away.

I love encountering works that I don't understand. In trying to understand your work, I see that your path as an artist is always about breaking away from what fits...

Not consciously.

There's a language you own, but you're also looking ahead. I was intrigued by the show in 2003 at The Project, of what I always refer to as the "white work" (which obviously has multiple connotations): the shark, the snow, the white light. I'm very curious to know how you see those works.

I'm very curious too, partially because I don't know. Once again, I just had to do it. I felt that I didn't want to make curtains at that moment. There were other things I needed to talk about.

And Haywood (2002) is a photograph of you...

Yes, it's me naked in the snow.

It was taken in 2001 or 2002 in Washington state. I was in Seattle working on a project at the Henry Art Gallery and I came across a photograph by Sarah Lucas in the True Collection where she's opening a beer and the foam is in her face. I was just blown away. Out of all of the work in the collection, why did I respond to that? I felt that I needed to get out and do something. I wanted to roll around in the snow and take my clothes off. I don't know why. The curator and other collectors made it happen. The next thing I knew, I was deep in the woods with no idea where it was going to lead or what it was about. I just felt that it was really important for me to be in the snow. I then began thinking about what it means for me to be in the snow and how I felt about growing up in a place where it really, really snows.
I thought about the thing like, "Put a hat on, you" of how we needed to p
s my father would say, "we are not Nordic," and tales of our histories to tell ourselves.
Yes, as black people we need to protect ourselves from the snow – there's this stereotype that snow is just not “natural” for us. Yes, we had to deal with it, but it's not supposed to be natural because we're African. So, put a hat on, proper boots and all of this stuff. I loved the snow, but it was still something quite foreign and it didn't feel natural for me to be in it.

How did the works evolve to include a shark?

It's a really tough body of work for me to talk about because I still don't quite have it all down. It's a lot about my father and the things that he said. It's also about predators, invasion and danger. I think about it as two white dangers – the snow and the great white shark – and how they relate.

With a third unnamed white danger?

Yes, unnamed, but the first two are white things that can kill me as I am.

What's startling about Haywood is seeing your black body. Are there some changes in the way you look in the whiteness?

But the snow is also invisible.

It is.

It's invisible, but it's also right there.

Invisible but very present. What I loved about it is that it is so “throwback.” People stopped talking about “whiteness” in the 1990s. Haywood takes us through an intriguing thread in your work – the images of your own body. I have always been amazed by the candor, immediacy and intimacy of those images. But I'm also amazed, in some cases, by the outrageousness, which is born of equal parts artistic passion and very healthy narcissism. Talk about you as your subject.

It's always easy to put myself out there, and it has taken a long time for me to become comfortable with myself. I think the photographs are a little about that and a little about me as a subject. It started back in 1993, the first time I was able to take my clothes off in my work.

What work was that?

It was a work made with Lite-Brite, my first self-portrait using photography. I took my clothes off, played around with the Lite-Brite and talked about childhood memories, dreams of the future and desire. From that point, I felt comfortable enough to see myself in that way. Every so often, I want to talk about race, and I realized that the only way to do that was to place my body in the work.

What are you talking about when you use your body?

My place in the world – whatever that means and wherever that is. My place in society as a black man. I tend not to want to be identified as an individual in the photographic self-portraits. I feel there are larger issues than me being addressed, even if I was not able to identify them. This might come from thinking about Lorna Simpson's work – it isn't necessarily about the individual. It speaks to much larger archetypes. It's about a black body that's anonymous in a way. I don't know if my work is about the anonymous male body; it's more about the symbolic body.

Is this body of work about desire, your own sense of it?
It's about desire in so many ways – my desire to be visible and yet invisible. But I'm all about self-love, which took a long time to realize. It just expressed itself in this way. I think I'm kind of cute, I guess, and I like my body and think I'm in touch with it. I used to be an athlete, a super athlete, and I think that has something to do with it as well.

What makes you then put yourself in your work?

I have no choice. That's the only way I know to get what I want across. My idea of self and identity goes back to the 1993 Whitney Biennial, which was one of the most important shows of my career. Deborah Kass once told me when I was making those paintings that someone will always make abstract or conceptual paintings better than mine. People will be doing that forever, and when I start making work about myself, then I'll make something that no one can do better. No one can make a better Kori Newkirk about Kori Newkirk than Kori Newkirk. So with that I was almost given permission. A big weight had been lifted off, and from that point I've been able to put myself in my work.

That's interesting, because one of the terrible legacies of identity politics and work that investigated it from the 1980s and 90s was that that work was about identity in a large way, but often got understood in a small way. Everything was misunderstood as autobiographical.
But you seem to have been able to understand the problems of the readings of identity politics. You make work about race or you make work about gender, but you are also always speaking about yourself. At the same time, the language around your autobiographical work functions on both macro and micro levels – big and small issues.

To me, there’s something important about your work that’s informed by Los Angeles, but I know that you are ambivalent about that, being a New Yorker.

I was just having an argument about that last night, saying that I just live in L.A. I’m not of there.

I know you feel that way, but there is something about your practice that is informed by the deep roots of L.A. Conceptual art. I see it in your work, but also I see it in your understanding of the city. As you remember from the first time I came to see you there, I was amazed by the way that post-uprising L.A. informed the work of a lot of people. Some had a generic sense of local art scene, but then after the uprising gained a new sense of the urban complexity. My first way into that was through the work of Daniel Joseph Martinez, which led me through a trajectory of the different versions of Los Angeles, the practices informed by them and the way those practices interacted. I remember distinctly coming to see you for the first time. The New Yorker that I am thinks of Los Angeles through its stereotype – the lovely, sunny West Side. I came in and I rented a car. You kept saying, “I’ll come and meet you in the parking lot,” and I said, “I’m from New York. I work in Harlem.” And you said, “No, I’ll come and meet you in the parking lot.” When we got to the street it looked like a movie set of skid row.

It’s skid row.

It’s like the deep, dark underbelly of the city, which was one layer you existed in. The second layer was when you were a high school art teacher in South Central, deeply invested in your students. But because of your students, you had a deep sense of the popular culture there. You could talk about Los Angeles and more importantly, you began showing this work there. In that context, the sublime nature of your work is, I think, in some ways a Los Angeles phenomenon.

I think I fight that thought mentally. Maybe I just resist too much all these things that seem so ingrained in my practice. I always want to go against it; I always fight myself. Am I a Los Angeles artist? Do I want to be a Los Angeles artist? I love Los Angeles. I’m there, but I’m from New York.

So it’s about a “void of silence,” which is also the title of one of your L.A. works.

It’s really about luck and lying. It’s about the idea of someone crossing their fingers for luck, but you also cross them when you’re lying.

Tell me about some of the artists whose work has inspired, informed or instigated aspects of your practice.

My work is informed by the sensibilities of Fred Wilson, Glenn Ligon, Carrie Mae Weems, Gary Simmons, Adrian Piper, David Hammons, Kerry James Marshall, Daniel J. Martinez, Pat Ward-Williams and Eva Hesse. I’m also influenced by James McNeill Whistler landscapes and J.M.W. Turner. But that list changes everyday. I deal with concrete issues but my media are fluid, so my influences shift with the fluidity of the media.

Those seem to be influences that you’ve been able to look at and understand and redefine in your own voice. When I think about those artists and your practice, there are clear relationships. There’s a rich dialogue.

Yes. And also, incredibly, I used to be a staunch Minimalist.
When? Before or after you were an abstract painter?

A little bit before, a little bit after and still to this day. I think there are some really important aspects of Minimalism, which are reflected in the distillation of my work. There's a detachment I have responded to, and it is still inside of me. I try to be incredibly detached from the work, much to my detriment mentally and emotionally. Sometimes, when I have to talk about it, it seems so difficult, because I have created a language to describe these things in my mind.

Well, in some ways, the language you've created is the work itself. That's your language. That's the vocabulary, the work. The question is whether you can describe it, but it seems the vocabulary is there. How do you incorporate the medium of video into your practice? Tell me about what video means to you now.

I've always thought about video, but there was no reason for me to work in that medium. I don't investigate a material until it's right, until something says to me, "I need to be made of this material." The only way to talk about the things that were going through my mind was through the moving image. At that time I found myself thinking about how I can finally show my face and my identity as Kori, as compared with the photographs and the other images of me in which nothing is clear. Finally my visage is in focus, but in an otherworldly way, in my mind, that's what I am.

What's Bixel (2005) about?

Aliens. Well, the idea of alien-ness. It's a culmination of the curtains and all of the work before, the location, blue sky, strangeness. It's really about privilege and failure and transformation. I've always had a fascination with the color silver and what it signifies, hence the glitter pouring out of my mouth. I wanted that idea out there in the world.

What did it feel like to make it?

It was totally liberating and extremely scary. I'm not normally worried about the critical response, but I just... I took the camera and was all by myself, no tricks. It's all about the purity of the medium. I just set the camera up and did what I wanted to do. And what I had to do. What I thought I needed to do. That was actually really liberating. I was able to play again and bring in all these other things that were going through my mind and did not lend themselves to curtains, photography or sculpture.

And you're working on a new video for this exhibition.

I am, a sort of short transitionary, transitional video.

What is it about?

Well, the work is moving away from the country and the suburbs.

Where is it going?

It's coming back to the city.

Which city?

The idea of the city, so in some way there's a migration. The migration now is moving slowly back from the suburbs to the other side, back to the city.

Why?
The work is telling me to. In the same way that the Jeffersons moved on up, I'm moving on back to the idea of the city.

You're an artist because you felt there was nothing else you could do. You make work that's about your history as it relates to the urban environment, the rural environment and you as you know yourself and are understood by society. You make it in a variety of media and you've mentioned that you're in a process of transition. You're moving forward. It seems like you've already moved around a lot. Where ultimately do you want to land, artistically and intellectually?
WANT TO LAND, KEEP MOVING, SHARKS OP SWIMMING, ELF.
IF I STOP MOVING, IT'S

SO I DON'T THINK THE
WHERE I EVER WANT
EVEN THOUGH I HAVE
FOR QUITE A LONG TI

I DON'T KNOW WHERE

I JUST KNOW THAT IT
GET A LITTLE MESSIE
SMARTER, A LOT MOR
A LOT MORE CONFUS
OVER.

IT'S A PLACE TO STOP, PAUSED IN LOS ANGELES.

IT'S GOING TO END.

GOING TO A LITTLE AMBITIOUS AND G.
YOU CAN
Several friends and colleagues have lent their time, thoughts and words to this essay. Thanks to Kathryn Aydin, Carlos Ford, Benjamin P. (then Kingsley A.) Hopson and the editors at The Studio Museum in Harlem for their incisive comments on previous versions of this text. I have also benefited from conversations with Blake Stadlen and Danae Engler, as well as from the research assistance of Marissa Daniels at Northwestern University. Penelope for her help at The Project, my father Huey Copeland I and, of course, Kori Newkirk.
This is an essay about Kori Newkirk, his art and the steps both take toward disidentifying with the presumed coordinates of African-American male subjectivity. It is a modest attempt to map out the critical postures that Newkirk's varied body of work enables and to consider how he has refashioned dominant cultural models for himself and his viewers over the past dozen years. Given the artist's complex relationship to identity politics, the calculated opacity of his signature pieces and my own interest in such positions, this is a reasonable tale for me to tell, I want to begin, however, with a subject even closer to home.

My father was obsessed with Oldsmobile Cutlass Supremes. Maybe he loved them because they seemed like the most stylish carriage a self-respecting black father could still justify as a family car, maybe he simply had a taste for their shark-like contours, or maybe they seemed like just compensation for days spent managing the floor of an automotive plant in a white midwestern town. Whatever its roots, the attraction was strong, if in no way unique. Although my mother was generally content to drive whatever hatchback was put in her path, she too was "touched," and together my parents purchased a series of Cutlasses beginning in the early 1980s.

The first one I can remember was black with thin, elegant red stripes running along either side. I'm not certain of this cruiser's eventual fate, though I imagine it went the way of the next two Supremes that rolled up our driveway. The second was light blue with a dark blue hard top. To me as a child, it seemed impossibly long, and the only thing more striking than its presence was its sudden disappearance one Saturday morning. Thieves were blamed and the police dutifully did their work. But in the case of our third Cutlass — a silky gray vehicle that began to smoke one July afternoon — the culprit would be more difficult to pin down.

I was eleven at the time, maybe twelve, and we were all comfortably situated in the car — father, mother, sister and me — en route to visit relatives in Arkansas. Soon after the
smoldering began, my father pulled over onto the shoulder of the interstate. In the five minutes that elapsed after his feet hit the pavement, he opened the hood, failed to extinguish the engine and calmly hustled us a hundred yards down the highway, where, astonished, we watched as the Cutlass burst into flames. The next time we saw it was at the insurer’s office. Propelled up on a tow truck and backed by a verdant landscape, the thing looked like an ashen wreck, a cartoonish shell of its former self, yet my father’s allegiance to the make would end only when a design overhaul rendered the new models altogether unrecognizable (fig. 1).

In recounting this story, I like to imagine that Kori, more than almost anyone else, knows where I’m coming from and gets where I’m at, not just because our blackness and gayness and middle-class angst led us to analogous pathways out of suburbia and into the art world, but because he too is still trying to make sense of what it means to come from a clan of automotive obsessives. Kori is descended from a long line of Cadillacs, men and women who take after, have taken up with or have been taken over by that iconic American brand. This, at least, is what the title of one of his earliest works straightforwardly declares. Executed in 1995 and measuring 4 by 3 feet, I Come from a Long Line of Cadillacs is an encaustic on wood panel that represents the artist’s forebears with mutely colored silhouettes: upright cars for living relatives, overturned ones for the deceased (fig. 2). In its diagrammatic tidiness, this work eschews names, dates and genders, retaining the conceit of the family tree while effectively abandoning its logic; here, affinity, not filiation, is the name of the game. Couples are few and far between, roots are easily confused with branches and, rather than flowing from top to bottom in unbroken lines of descent, this genealogy seems to move in several directions at once, embedding the Cadillac name within Newkirk blood in forms as emptied out as my father’s final Cutlass.

These days Kori does not drive a Caddy — they no longer suit his taste, perhaps they never did — but the reference resonates sufficiently within the culture at large and in the artist’s imagination to occur with some regularity in his early work of the late 1990s. Newkirk profiled the vehicle’s outline with red Lite-Brites and silver gelatin prints (fig. 3), multiplied and dispersed it in fragrant black pomade on sheets of white paper (fig. 4), and even sprawled the Cadillac logo across a gallery wall, its
metallic cursive stenciled in fluffy black hair (fig. 5). These cars are funky, no doubt, but their facture takes a distance from the pimped-out rides that populate blaxploitation classics like The Mack or crop up in MTV tours of rap stars’ cribs. Instead, these artworks function as spurs to memory for a generation of mothers, fathers, sisters and brothers who found themselves on the run from the black wreck and wondering what to do with the ashes falling on their skin.

Newkirk’s art holds out a series of responses to this quandary, seizing on the centrality of imaginative self-fashioning to the historical production of black identity. In his practice, especially in the pony bead curtains for which he remains best known, the vernacular styles and materials of the post-civil rights inheritance are time and again unmoored from the figures they adorned, edited to generalities and tweaked in scale to stunning effect. Legacy (1999) is a case in point: in it, polyurethane afro picks, each precisely the height of the artist’s body, form a circle that simultaneously reads as an outsized crown and a discomfiting enclosure (fig. 6). In thus riffing on the cultural nationalist hairstyling tool of choice, Newkirk puffs up, parodies and pays homage to the ambitions of black pride, registering the limits of that ethos as well as its subsequent commodification (fig. 7).

Off of the street and into the gallery: this is a well-trodden road within the annals of modern art that handily takes us from one end of the twentieth century to the other, from Dada Duchamp to Brother Basquiat. Like his precursors, Newkirk’s turn to everyday objects plays to the art world’s predilection for urban grit as shocking museum display. But just as importantly, his choices reflect on the peculiar bond between black bodies and the other shiny things with which they have been conflated and exchanged. That storied relation, so the psychiatrists tell us, is one marked by love, loss and every kind of disaffection. According to William H. Grier and Price M. Cobbs, the authors of the 1968 classic Black Rage, “for black people the ability to divorce oneself emotionally from an object is necessary for survival.” Yet in the face of dispossession and dire circumstance, colored folks go on embracing whatever objects they can, either carried on the body or serving as its stunning conveyance: Caddies and gold chains, picks and pomade, beads and basketballs, even fake floss (fig. 8). This is the stuff of selfhood and solace in the West, and on this score, it is worth
recalling the words of critic Kobena Mercer, who wrote, "the question of style can be seen as a medium for expressing the aspirations of black people historically excluded from access to official social institutions of representation and legitimation in urban, industrialized societies of the capitalist First World."

As Mercer intimates, this is very much a modern narrative, but while the romance is Kori’s, the substance is not. The artist—himself well-represented and officially sanctioned—willingly concedes the point. While he has lived in downtown Los Angeles for over a decade and even taught at an inner-city high school, back in the day he had other questions to answer: "I always knew that Black was beautiful in the 60s and powerful in the 70s, but growing up in central New York State in the 80s and 90s, I didn’t know what Black was supposed to be for me." In these lines, race comes across as a historically contingent signifier capable of qualifying experiences constructed at a remove from the city and in the aftermath of calls aimed at redefining African-American identity. But race in what terms? To hear Newkirk tell it, blackness is up for grabs, ready to be made up and turned out, but he is all too aware that the meaning of the black image produced by spectacle can be as constraining as it is ubiquitous.

We needn’t look far for proof. In 1999 the artist executed a pair of self-portraits: Channel 9, a photograph, and Channel 11, another encaustic on wood (figs. 9-10). In each instance, the face of the subject or, rather, the suspect, is distorted beyond recognition, as if to literalize and so reveal how reality television programs such as LAPD: Life on the Beat and COPS render "the black male" a dark menace who is always already culpable. Taken together, Newkirk’s words and works evince an attitude toward the visual predication of blackness familiar to students of contemporary art; in adding his two cents to the great catalogue of Negro life, he can afford to skip the soliloquies and the suffering, the triumph and the tragedy, to head straight for an ironic inversion of those mythical attributes figured as endemic to the black male body, particularly his own.

For an artist who came of age in the era of Air Jordan, what better location to enact such inversion than the basketball court? If you’ve met Kori, sidled up to Legacy, or seen him...
indeed several black male practitioners roughly of Newkirk’s generation, such as Hank Willis Thomas and O’Neal Nickson. Their modes of Michael Jordan as icon in their art. See New York, Wicks Thomas, “Sweetness: Lurking Black at Mike. Master, and. Whiteness in the NBA” (Wittenberg, California College of the Arts, 2004) and Glenn Ligon; “Base-Meckin: Art ful” (Arthur, September 2004, 2011).

13 See Newkirk’s comments in Eugene Hoekstra, “Kori Newkirk” (Studio, Fall/Winter 2002-03: 7-9.


15 I tip my hat here to Glenn Ligon, “Black Light: David Hammons and the Poetics of Empowerment” (Artforum, September 2004: 243-47, particularly the opening gambit in which the artist underscores his relationship to his own. I’ve called, to begin clarifying the discursive and affective space occupied by several contemporary African American artists.


in Patter (2001), you know he’s about 6 feet 2: not Shaquille O’Neal-tall, but tall enough and black enough to be pegged as a baller, despite the fact that his sport of choice growing up was competitive figure skating (fig. 11).

Even now, the game clings to him like so much other residue, material that he recoups in works such as Black Top (2002), Assumption Full Court (2002) and Pool (2001), a trio as consistent in their shared target as they are promiscuous in their tactics. In Black Top, the artist’s shorn hair, patiently glued to paper, diagrams a court: the body’s cast-offs construct an image of the place from which it is absent (fig. 12). Inkjet prints of basketballs are linked by chains of acrylic paint in Assumption Full Court, wittily replicating the structure of a DNA sequence while dispelling any assumptions about the artist’s inherent athletic gifts (fig. 13).

Last but not least, Pool presents the objects of the game sliced into sections like so many oranges, then placed in a neat circular arrangement, a gesture that is almost as precious as it is aggressive (fig. 14).

Precious and aggressive. Just what kind of moves do these works make? And what really is the artist’s game? Is he going “ghetto” to get over? I don’t think so. The tone of Newkirk’s practice hardly smacks of “the rage of a privileged class.”

It feels, rather, like a funny kind of loving otherwise, something akin to that mixture of anxiety and admiration you might have for your “crazy” aunt who prefers her cigarettes mentholated and her pants stretchy, the sort of figure ripe for institutionalization, be it in the madhouse or the museum.

As in the Cadillac pieces, in his plays on basketball, Newkirk stages his own alienation from an archetypal site associated with African-American men, registering his interest in and distance from those modes of representation — family trees, blueprints and genetic codes — that would presume to locate his position in the world before he has arrived within it.

In recasting racial fictions in styles more befitting his taste, he engages in what cultural theorist José Muñoz calls “the process of disidentification,” which “...scrambles and reconstructs the encoded message of a cultural text in a fashion that both exposes the encoded message’s universalizing and exclusionary mechanisms and recasts its workings to account for, include, and empower minority identities and identifications. Thus, disidentification is a step further than cracking open the code of the majority; it proceeds to use this code as raw material for representing a disempowered politics or positionality that has been rendered unthinkable by the dominant culture.”

Pool, I would argue, offers one possible outcome of this process. In cutting and then dispersing basketballs, Newkirk disrupts one metric by which the black male is measured, and with his punning title begins to suggest other arenas in which that subject might be imagined, even if still phantasmatically connected to the court. Although he hates basketball, Kori can’t let it go.

Or, as Muñoz puts it in psychoanalytic terms, “like a melancholic subject holding on to a lost object, a disidentifying subject works to hold on to this object and invest it with new life.” For Newkirk, as for so many queers of color who have felt themselves alien and invisible within the American cultural landscape, the figuring of unthinkable positions through familiar means becomes not only a mode of survival, but a method of worldmaking.

Although he rarely points up his sexuality in his practice, several of Newkirk’s basketball works—in particular hoop pieces such as Net Gains (2000), Huddle (2002) and Sugget (2002) — are fabulously queer, which is to say that they deform and misappropriate the game, “spawning a different order of values” and begging the question of gay visibility within the overdetermined arena of black male athleticism. In Closely Guarded (2001), for example, a white cotton net has been traded for long strands of false hair covered with silver beads, the whole ensemble tapering to a narrow opening before cascading to the floor (fig. 15).

The artist has siphoned energy from the court in favor of the gallery’s hieratic cool, displacing visual attention from the movement of sweating black bodies to static shimmering baskets and imbuing a tired “masculine” figure with freshly “feminized” meaning. You might call it “subversive,” I would say it’s fierce.

Transformed from a functional to a queered object, Closely Guarded signifies wildly, calling up hemets and chain mail and jockstraps and jellyfish of the most glamorous and unnatural orders. But this work and its fellows refer most pointedly, I think, to David Hammons’s over-the-top basketball goals, produced in and around New York in the mid-1980s. Hyperbolically tall, decorously patterned with bottle caps and razor-sharp in their critique of the game’s viability as a way out of black derealization,

17 José Esteban Muñoz, Disidentifications: Queers of Color and the Performance of Politics (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1999), 3. Not only does Muñoz’s book brilliantly shatter sterilization, it also sketches a family of cultural practices whose work might be usefully compared with Newkirk’s particular interest in the effeminizing theatre. Jean Michel Basquiat and Bax (New York, Virginia Cherry Davis and the rejuvenated identity politics of Felix Raspal and Robluo, 1994).

these works set the standard that Newkirk looks toward. Indeed, his affinity with Hammons and a whole host of more established black male practitioners is unmistakable, particularly in the case of Glenn Ligon, whose art plumbs the visual construction of black masculinity through reflexive iterations of a "self" that differentially opens onto the social and spectatorial fields. All three of these brothers know how to make a picture. They do so by letting their materials — whether paint, body or wax — accede both to their internal logic and to the weight of history, an economy of means that imbricates "black culture" and conceptual stratagem. Note how Ligon traced Ralph Ellison's words onto panels of bodily dimensions. Consider one of the prints in which Hammons forced his face into the contours of a spade to enact physically the constriction of racial stereotypes. Now picture these works alongside Channel 77. Together they comprise a suite of improvisations on the facts of blackness so famously noted by philosopher Frantz Fanon more than fifty years ago: "I came into the world imbued with the will to find a meaning in things, my spirit filled with the desire to attain to the source of the world, and then I found that I was an object in the midst of other objects." Like their not-so-distant relation, these artists play on the dissonance engendered when black men literally or metaphorically run up against the sites, tropes and visual techniques that give racial phantasms their grounding in the real.

But how do we get at the historical specificity of Newkirk's tactics? What does his art suggest about how racialized reference operates now? Is it enough to call his work "post-black" and leave it at that? Coined by Ligon in conjunction with curator Thelma Golden, that term was meant to encapsulate the outlook of artists, such as Newkirk, Dave McKenzie and Mark Bradford, who were included in the 2001 Studio Museum exhibition Freestyle and "who were adamant about not being labeled as 'black' artists, though their work was steeped, in fact deeply interested, in redefining complex notions of blackness." The attitude described here certainly speaks to Kori's statements in interviews and articles, and it also offers an opening onto his approach to the disarticulation of race.

Artist and critic Kianga Ford takes us one step further. To her mind, what is new about Newkirk's practice and that of his
peers is that while previous strands of artistic production also emphasize the material signifiers associated with blackness, in the work of the younger set, those signifiers are no longer pressed first and foremost into deconstructive service, but are primarily accumulated for pictorial gain without ever positing a racialized subject. Of course, such a distinction does not cut cleanly along temporal or generational lines, but it does highlight a posture toward blackness, which while evident in past artistic discourses, comes hurtling to the fore in the present. As my father might say, it's all about the contours; as Kori himself will tell you, "[t]he first thing is the aesthetics," and as his work makes manifest, that aesthetic entails neither a repudiation of identity politics nor a simple return to earlier canons of beauty. I would contend, rather, that Newkirk doubly disidentifies — on one hand from the conventions of Modernist painting and on the other from the constitutive vectors of black visibility — to string together pictures of the world as if remade from memory.

**Jubilee** (1999), the artist's very first curtain, is one such picture [fig. 16]. A brilliantly hued 8-foot square, the work is composed of braided strands of synthetic hair threaded through plastic pony beads and hung from an aluminum frame. The media attention focused on tennis stars Venus and Serena Williams's beaded braids inspired Newkirk's choice of materials, and a mélange of memories and photographs, including ones of Los Angeles aflame during the 1992 uprising, provided the basis of an image. Like the Color Field paintings to which it bears more than a passing resemblance, this work depicts no bodies — no crouching Rodney King, no angry rioters — but its virtual abstraction is as much about optical transcendence as it is about black eschatology. Among the more than sixty curtains Newkirk has executed to date, Jubilee is exceptional for its topical and identifiable referent. Yet it shares with the others a haunting figural emptiness and a "black" materiality, the better with which to undermine fixed ideas of the African-American subject's "proper" place and allow both the artist and the viewer to plot themselves imaginatively in the locations pictured, as belonging among the trees, along the skyline, in the city or in the suburbs [fig. 17-19].

To many eyes, the homes nestled just over the fence in **Younger** (2004) do, in fact, appear welcoming [fig. 20]. The image itself is quaint, but the interest of the work derives from its massive
scale and its ability to lure us closer, not to seek out traces of the artist’s hand, but to inspect more closely the curtain’s pixelated facture and to assess its capacity for breath, movement and blackness. The title is key. “Younger” is the name of the working-class African-American family immortalized in Lorraine Hansberry’s 1959 play *A Raisin in the Sun*. Set in a cramped apartment in Chicago’s south side, the drama takes place after the death of Mr. Younger and principally revolves around the tension between his widow, Mama, and their son Walter Lee, who have conflicting ideas about what to do with the $10,000 insurance payout. Ultimately the family decides to proceed with Mama’s initial dream, so despite the expense and the veiled threats, the Youngers prepare to move into white suburban Clybourne Park.

For Newkirk, that neighborhood might have been his own and in conceiving the curtain he recalled Hansberry’s cast: “I thought of myself as the son in the family, or maybe the son of the son, and maybe this is the house they moved into.” More than a fanciful projection, the artist’s account of *Younger* alters the valence of the work, uncovering the invisible presence of black subjects and the realities of segregation within a seemingly innocuous scene. For all its divergent representational modes and swings in mood and emphasis, Newkirk’s practice consistently explores the coordinates of life lived black by working with and against the grain of African-American popular iconicity. “Universally,” he tells us, “I am engaged with the idea of the black body, in all these different permutations and situations — and not necessarily ‘the black male body,’ but the idea of the body as fact, not as spectacle.” To this we might add, the body as produced by spectacle, caught in the grip of stereotype, moved by queer notions and awash in representations of itself: these are Newkirk’s facts of blackness. Yet his Fanon is less the man who feels “sealed into [a] crushing objecthood” and more the spirit who continues to “attain to the source of the world.”

When I interviewed Kori in his studio several months ago, he was at work on a curtain. The beading went slowly but our conversation flowed easily, so much so that I neglected to check my parking meter. When I reached the street, it became clear that my rental car – a non-descript white bubbly thing – had been towed by the city. Kori came to my rescue and the curtain is now complete. While the events of that afternoon will doubt-
How does one imagine the black body, specifically the unclothed black male body, in art? I posed that question to Conceptual artist Kori Newkirk one afternoon in April 2007, and he considered answering it in multiple ways, from generalizing the question with collective ideas about the black male body to individualizing it with his own body. In his work, he says, he frames his ideas about that subject by documenting his own body through time. By constructing a narrative in still and moving images, Newkirk uses the genre of self-portraiture to document and "imagine" the unclothed body. He feels that the unclothed body is central to his work because "clothes mark the body and lock it into time." In a recent interview in the pages of Studio, he said:

Universally, I am engaged with the idea of the black body, in all these different permutations and situations — and not necessarily the "black male body," but the idea of the body as fact, not as spectacle .... So my work, in all its various manifestations, is maybe linked by this desire to talk about the black body in a way that hasn't been addressed, in places that haven't been addressed. It's a direct response to the urbanization of the black body, the reduction of the spaces where black bodies are seen to exist. It's a way to see myself in the art world and the larger world.

This ten-year retrospective is both reflexive and illustrative, humorous and ironic, sensual and spellbinding. Indeed, it delineates and obstructs the notion of twentieth-century abstraction. Newkirk expands upon a historical and cultural chronology that is full of both facts and lore. In works such as Testing the Wind (2004), Newkirk offers the viewer the notion of conjuring. These large-scale color photographs come complete with sensory experiences that embody taste, touch and sound. There are elements in this composition that evoke the genre of portraiture. However, the fragmented images that make up the photograph evoke a sense of mystery or secrecy. Notably, Lorna Simpson's Hypothetical? (1992) bears a strong resemblance to Newkirk's work in the framing of lips. The shape of the lips are the central focus, and the placement of the images offers the viewer an opportunity to free associate with Testing the Wind. Similarities between the works of Newkirk and Simpson include performative aspects and the unlocking of mysteries. Art historian and curator Kellie Jones writes of Simpson, "She is fascinated by methods of performance: how actors build characters, their ability to
switch between personae from moment to moment.” Newkirk is both the actor and director in *Testing the Wind*. We are confronted with both the beauty of his lips and the possibilities of fate. How does one “test the wind”? Newkirk’s outstretched arm and finger gesture toward the clouds, inviting the viewer to join him in this imaginary journey of chance. In *Par* (2004), a figure lies on green grass, wearing a white shirt and blue-striped tie. The face is obscured, as if he is covering his eyes from the sun or deep in thought. Newkirk’s *Par* can be seen through the worlds of golf and high finance. The meaning of “par” ranges from a number of strokes in golf to terms that can be used to describe his style of dress – successful, cool, perfect; up to par. But his gesture may allude to a question: Is he up to par? Over the past ten years, I have witnessed Newkirk’s work evolve from works that critique American culture to works that expand on that critique and move from humor to pathos. His work is both smart and witty. The challenge for the viewer is to move along with him as he explores diverse ways in which to create his art. His use of photography is not restricted to the notion of “fine art” photography. To be sure, he is not a conventional photographer. Rather, he is an artist that sees photography as a tool to express his ideas, in the same way he uses beads from hair salons to visualize a painting. I suggest that he uses the camera in the same way that Romare Bearden used collage. In my view, Newkirk’s visual messages are varied.

Inspired by photography, painting, video, film noir, pop culture and political art, Newkirk is secure in his own artistic vision. As Bearden asserts, “Practically all the great artists have accepted the influence of others. But the difference lies in the fact that the artist with vision sees his material, chooses, changes, and by integrating what he has learned by his own experience, finally molds something distinctly personal.” This is evidenced in Newkirk’s vast and diverse oeuvre, into which he has integrated childhood memories, observations from life and participation in contemporary art practices. Land and landscapes are clearly measured terrain in his creative process in both his video work and photographs. This is the nature of Newkirk’s poetic images. He is often the observer and the participant. What he observes at the moment dictates his choice of camera, from a large format, to a medium format, to a fast point-and-shoot, to a handheld digital or a plastic Holga – in his words, “whatever is available.”
Newkirk grew up in a house surrounded by photographs. His father was a serious amateur interested in documentary photography and used to tell "tales" about how he and another photographer, strapped back-to-back, took photographs during the summer riots of the 1960s — "where one would actually watch each other's back so they could shoot burned-out stores and buildings." The surviving photographs and stories helped to shape Newkirk's understanding of the immediacy of photography. Other influences include Gordon Parks's iconic images, such as Ella Watson posed in front of the American flag with mop and broom in *American Gothic* (1942), and Pat Ward Williams's use of appropriation and archival investigation, especially in the oversized, confrontational image exhibited in the 1993 Whitney Biennial, *What You Lookin' At?* (1992). Newkirk studied with Williams at University of California at Irvine in the 1990s. Other contemporary influences include Carrie Mae Weems's "Kitchen Table Series" (1990). That series "requires a lot of work. She's not giving it all to us. The viewer must be willing to work to understand what is going on in the work," Newkirk says, "That is what I want from my viewers and my images." And the photographs of both Glenn Ligon and Lyle Ashton Harris helped shape his reading of the black male body.

Arguably the original Harlem portrait photographer, James VanDerZee created mortuary portraits that also fascinated Newkirk, who finds the notion of documenting memorials in photographs both beautiful and awkward. The idea of funeral photographs resonate for him. The presence and absence of the black male body is a theme throughout his work. The tall, partially nude figure in *Bixel* (2005) is both disarming and beguiling. The dreamlike, out-of-focus figure stands in his underwear in an open field. The rich green of the grass enhances the mystery of the image. Where is he coming from? Where is he headed? *Bixel* also includes video stills, which have a ghostlike quality reminiscent of VanDerZee's mortuary portraits, of a headless body peeping through blades of grass.

It is clear when viewing this body of work that Newkirk is influenced by the narrative in photography — image with text. He often enhances a visual plane by using strands of plastic beads to create an art object—meditative and idealized studies. His understanding of language is provocative and revealing of his sense of humor. By writing with neon, he illuminates words and phrases stored in our collective memories. We recall them from our grandparents and parents, as well as from "street culture." He jokingly describes himself as a "sponge," noting that he "reads lot of books, speaks often about his own identity and reflects on how the individual erases and obscures." Newkirk says that the figures in the works "are not necessarily me" and "not the individualized black maleness" — he is representing not one but "every-one."
EXQUISITE, ELEGANT AND ESTRANGED

DOMINIC MOLON

I AM LOST TO THE WC WITH WHICH I USED SO MUCH TIME, IT HAS HEARD NOTHING FOR SO LONG THAT IT MAY VERY WELL THAT I AM DEAD!
IT IS OF NO CONSEQUENCE WHETHER IT THINKS, I CANNOT DENY IT, FOR I REALLY AM DEAD.

I AM DEAD TO THE WORLD AND I REST IN A QUIET.

I LIVE ALONE IN MY HEART IN MY LOVE AND IN MY AMORES.

- FRIEDRICH RÜCKER

"ICH BIN DER WELT ABHANDELN GEKOMMEN"
In the last scene of Jim Jarmusch’s 2003 film *Coffee and Cigarettes*, aging Warhol superstar Taylor Mead (simultaneously playing himself and a fictional maintenance man on break) asks his similarly aged counterpart whether he has ever heard Gustav Mahler’s song “Ich bin der Welt abhanden gekommen.” Mead begins to rhapsodize world-wearily about the song’s sublime beauty as it softly and strangely plays in the imaginary break room they occupy, and comments on how he too feels dead and removed from the world. It’s an achingly poignant scene, one that draws on the past (Mahler’s song was written in 1901-02) while capturing an utterly contemporary sense of malaise and alienation. Kori Newkirk’s recent sculptural and photographic work offers a similarly existential sentiment of disconnectedness, though in a more oblique yet obviously physically present manner. This sense of unease and discontent is multifaceted, and embodies a general weltschmerz evident in the work of many of his peers, while reflecting the Artist’s perpetual role as a social outsider and suggesting, if only tangentially at times, an acutely felt estrangement as an African-American artist. This is not to say that Newkirk’s work luxuriates in an indulgent melancholia, for his objects and images possess an exquisitely and immediately visual and tactile appeal, and rigorously and actively engage the viewer in dynamic spatial terms. It is this tension between his work’s evocation of longing and despair and its aesthetically inviting qualities that make it so profoundly affecting, compelling and effective.

Newkirk occupies an intriguing place among similarly emergent artists of his generation, having distinguished himself with work that reconsiders Conceptual and post-Conceptual art practices from an intensely personal and emotional perspective. He was initially recognized as one of a number of young African-American artists working in a manner dubbed “post-black,” in the sense that his work and the work of others, including Julie Mehretu, Adam Pendleton and Eric Wesley, moved beyond surface issues of race to explore more generally contemporary content or artistic-process-oriented issues. This position, while liberating in certain respects, has led these artists into a complicated area of aesthetic identity politics in which aspects of race are always already implied or inevitably read into their work, no matter how insistently it departs from the subject. This condition of “placelessness” within the current art world
thus offers greater possibilities for individual recognition while making the critical reception of the work that much more challenging and difficult, further underscoring the sense of estrangement implicit in Newkirk's work.

This state of displacement is evident in *Helix* [2006], a sculpture of a fire escape executed in clear plexiglass and decontextualized from its expected setting of the front or back of an urban building by being suspended within the space of a gallery. The work is an example of how Newkirk reconfigures inanimate objects to signify more "human" states of mind and being. The fire escape is necessarily a sturdy and formidable structure given its practical, life-saving purpose. It is also associated with such disparate cultural phenomena as cinematic chase scenes and its "urban romantic" function as a site for contemplation and reflection that provides a way to see and be part of the "world" while maintaining a conscious distance from it. Newkirk's version seems almost ethereally weightless, hovering improbably in midair and still offering the structural properties of the object — its diagonally unfolding series of stairs and landings — if not the material stability it demands. Marooned in the middle of the gallery space, rendered functionally neuter and separated from its host building, *Helix* poignantly, if abstractly, captures the irony of urban loneliness — how one can feel so isolated and alone within the active and densely populated environment of the American city.

A no less theatrical demonstration of Newkirk's exploration of disconnectedness from the world is effected by an installation of a group of works, made in 2002 and 2003 and incorporating sculptures and photographs, that combines such wildly distinct phenomena as icicles, snow ... and sharks. One large fiberglass shark (*Win Slow* [2003]), to be precise, is set adrift in a snowy realm (a floor covered in plastic shavings) that also features an encaustic wall inlay of sharks forming a snowflake pattern (*Tully* [2003]) and snowflakes and icicles rendered in neon (*Belvue Gardens* [2003] and *Maybury* [2003]). Also featured in the installation is a hauntingly blurred image (*Haywood* [2002-03]) of a black man (the artist) nude in front of a snow-covered forest landscape. This improbable pairing of tropical and arctic phenomena shares an immediate association with the potential for harm or danger — one more immediate and the other based on extended exposure — and for an aesthetic
appreciation of their more "sublime" qualities. Newkirk taps into the romantic sensibility associated with early nineteenth-century painters, poets and musicians that revolved around fascination with forces of nature that were both tantalizingly beautiful yet capable of harm and destruction. The figure of the shark in particular has a rich iconographic history, from John Singleton Copley's *Watson and the Shark* (1778), to perhaps its most celebrated (and notorious) presence in the 1975 Hollywood blockbuster *Jaws*, to Damien Hirst's signature sculpture *The Physical Impossibility of Death in the Mind of Someone Living* (1991) in which a 14-foot tiger shark is encased in a formaldehyde-filled vitrine. Newkirk's shark possesses none of the malevolent threat of the fish in the painting or the film, nor does it exude the paradoxical cross of spectacular presence and mute entropy of Hirst's. Instead, its placement on the floor and fabrication from a coldly industrial material gives it an inert pathos, making it seem all the more lost and disproportionate with its environment. A strange sympathy subsequently develops between the two glaringly isolated figures in the installation – the not-so-great white shark on the floor and the solitary black man wandering in the snowy woods.

The latter figure presides over the installation as a shadowy and mysterious presence, somehow alternately exuding, like the shark, both an ominous power and an awkward vulnerability. Deliberately out of focus, the image suggests the kind of blurriness characteristic of the furtive attempts to photograph such elusive paranormal folklore phenomena as Bigfoot (a large, primitive humanoid figure said to roam the forests of North America) or the Loch Ness Monster. This murkiness perfectly underscores the ambivalence and equivocation at the heart of Newkirk's overall installation of these various objects. A snowflake and suspended icicles rendered in neon suggest something frosty and frigid, yet their material is something hot to the touch. The artist's thoughts on the shark and the cold-weather aspects of the works signal both a deep sense of dread and a complicated sense of identification:
VERY MUCH REAL
AND
SPECIFICALLY THE
THE - ARE MADE INTO
FOR SURVIVAL.
THE IN AN AVALANCHE.
IN THE VAST OCEAN.
I MUST KEEP
DIE, JUST AS SHARKS
TO LIVE.
THINGS CAN KILL ME.
While the shark is identified as a source of potential grievous bodily harm, its own mortality is simultaneously alluded to, just as the figure alone in the woods is shown naked and therefore imminently vulnerable to frostbite. The obvious third white thing capable of killing Newkirk – people – is left unstated yet is signified perhaps by the snow and the shark. However, the sense of uncertainty informing all of the figures on view – particularly the seemingly empathic relationship of the black figure and the shark – prompts consideration of the conflicting dynamics of estrangement and identification that charge this complex grouping of works.

Three photographs from 2004, Flank, Juke and Par, are much more oblique in their presentations of the figure in nature. The first is, like Haywood, intentionally hazy and blurred, depicting a black male lying prone in the grass of what appears to be a park. Shot from ground level at something of a distance, the image has the quality of surveillance or forensic footage, as if the photographer intended to maintain a cautious distance from the subject. [Michelangelo Antonioni’s classic 1966 film Blow-Up comes immediately to mind, as, to a lesser extent, does Alfred Hitchcock’s 1955 film The Trouble with Harry.] The vantage point is too distant for the viewer to ascertain the status of the figure adequately, and whether he is resting, wounded, unconscious or even dead. The title of the work offers little assistance either, only indicating what is more or less legible in the photograph: that we are primarily presented with a view of the figure’s right flank. Nature is indicated here not as the cause of a possibly negative effect, but as the site where something must have transpired, suggesting that the potential for violence and tragedy informs even the quietest and most bucolic situation. Flank also evokes the various cultural associations with “falling,” from comedic pratfalls, to the more serious biblical “fall” from grace of Adam and Eve, to the various larger and smaller “falls” and collapses throughout history (not to mention the Conceptually oriented work of artists such as Bas Jan Ader, Martin Kersels and Peter Land). Open to multiple interpretations, Newkirk’s photograph provides more questions than answers, prompting the viewer to determine both the circumstances by which this fallen figure came to be, as well as his ultimate fate.

Juke, also blurred, presents the same personage, seemingly in a state of repose. The angle in this picture is less “dramatic,”
thus lending the scene a warmer, almost romantic sensibility [something akin to the "soft-focus" style of 1970s porn, perhaps]. Here, too, the title offers only an oblique, if completely dichotomous, explanation at best - "juke" connoting a quick motion meant to deceive or fake. This non sequitur distracted the viewer from a more facile, literal understanding of the image, prompting an appreciation of its subtler details - the slight contortion of the body, perhaps, as an indication of tension and discontent in an otherwise blissful scene.

Par, despite its greater visual clarity and presentation of a more recognizable figure, is no less inscrutable. Depicting a black man (Newkirk, once again) lying face-up in the grass and obscuring his face or shading his eyes from the glare of the sun with his left arm, this work, like Flank, stages an event that requires the viewer to play a more active role in "reading" the situation. Perhaps the most striking initial detail is the figure's attire (vaguely perceptible in Flank and Juke), comprising a crisp white dress shirt and a business-like striped tie, that immediately establish him in the social strata. The exact meaning and intent of his gesture is unclear, and is possibly only intended for the situation of the photograph itself by creating a parallel between the position of the arm and that of his other arm, which is bent in a similar fashion behind his back. This balance in his physical posture may be the key to still another initially perplexing title. Taken together, Flank, Juke and Par, are a trio of performative studies, prompting comparisons with such Conceptual photographic explorations of bodily gestures and language as Bruce Nauman's Henry Moore Bound to Fail (1967-70) or Self-Portrait as a Fountain (1966-67/1970). Individually, however, the works present enigmatic narrative fragments, all with different levels of theatrical intensity and affect, ranging from Flank's dramatic vantage point and disturbing implications to Par's more neutral matter-of-factness. All do share the presentation of a solitary figure in nature whose relationship to his environment seems largely undetermined and unresolved - much in keeping with the undercurrent of loneliness and isolation that informs much of Newkirk's work.

The treatment of phenomena and situations relating to basketball represents Newkirk's most direct and emphatic expression of feeling socially disconnected or misunderstood. He grew up in mostly white communities, and his color and height created the immediate impression, based on racial stereotypes, that he had a "natural" aptitude for and inclination toward the sport. Newkirk, however, is neither good at nor interested in basketball, yet its role in his personal history and its continued popularity in American culture make it a compelling subject for him all the same. The photograph Patter (2001), for example, depicts a young black man dressed in a basketball uniform and sitting alone on empty gymnasium bleachers with his face turned aside in a gesture of fatigue or disgust. The titular reference to a sort of glib, informal form of speech suggests that the work refers to what one might imagine to have been the hurtful comments endured by Newkirk, directly or indirectly, as a result of his failure to meet expectations of basketball-playing prowess, and evokes the more general malaise of social exclusion or ostracism. Pool (2001) is a sculptural work comprising a circle of basketballs deflated to form small domes, making a virtue of their depleted state and further indicating the artist's complicated feelings about the sport. Another sculptural work, Closely Guarded (2000-01), similarly transforms an object from the context of basketball by placing two metal hoops side by side on a wall and replacing the short cloth net that typically hangs from them [intended to guide and slow the ball on its descent] with braided hair extensions. The work is both an intriguing reclamation of the objects in terms of their "blackness" - replacing the white net with black hair - and another gesture aimed to render basketball phenomena functionless [save, of course, for their purely aesthetic properties.]

Finally, Bumper (2001) is a photographic diptych showing two basketballs placed on courts - one at the "top of the key" [the area behind the free throw line but inside or near the free throw circle] and one slightly closer to the free throw line - seemingly and somewhat sadly functioning as human surrogates. The pairing seems at first quizzical, as both are identical images, yet closer and more focused perception reveals a clever formal "game" in which the arc of the half-court circle in one picture is picked up by the three-point line in the other picture. As with his other basketball-related works, Newkirk forces an alienating game from his youth to serve his own aesthetic ends as a mature artist, negatively touching upon his personal and emotional relationship to the game while creating a more "positive" exploration of abstract visual structures and dynamics.

Newkirk's signature works are the curtains that he makes from
pony beads and braided hair to form either abstract shapes, silhouettes of cityscapes or images of suburban or rural environments. Successful not only in creating a pictorial effect within a sculptural situation, the curtain works are remarkable also for their moody evocation of unpopulated, empty spaces through the use of a very physical, body-oriented material, thus effecting a curious tension between corporeal presence and absence. They directly allude to the jarring cultural juxtaposition the artist experienced as a youth between the verdant and largely Caucasian neighborhoods in upstate New York where he grew up and the more intensely urban and African-American-dominated surroundings of his extended family. These works also suggest the beaded-curtain interior design items popular when Newkirk was a child. Rather than providing a structure that defines a space while remaining easily permeable, however, his curtains either hang impenetrably on the wall or, of late, are suspended from the ceiling to form a rectangular space into which the viewer is implicitly denied access. The scenes depicted in the more pictorially driven curtains rarely present a full view of a given landscape, but instead focus in a more fragmentary way on the tops of trees, telephone poles or houses — in essence, all of the typically overlooked phenomena that nonetheless remain immediately and affectingly familiar to anyone who has spent any time in the suburbs. Newkirk’s willful exclusion of scenes that provide a more established sense of place, and his emphasis on images devoid of a human element, once again demonstrate how a somewhat melancholic tone of social estrangement and general disaffectedness with the world pervades almost every aspect of his oeuvre — a tone that becomes exaggerated by his works’ seductive tactility and visual beauty.

It is perhaps completely unsurprising that Newkirk was prominently featured in the Uncertain States of America exhibition that opened at Astrup Fearnley Museum of Modern Art in Oslo in 2005 and has been making stops at various venues around the globe. One could easily describe the sensibility with which he works as an “uncertain state” and one that has much to do with his position as a black artist living and working in America at the dawn of the third millennium. Disenchanted, like many in the country, with a current administration that seems nothing if not cynical [a sentiment he directly expresses in his 2002 sculpture Take What You Can, featuring the words of the title executed in white neon], he joins many of his contemporaries in representing the world in a strikingly beautiful fashion laced with a sense of fatigued alienation. Echoing the sadly poignant refrains of both the Mahler song cited at the beginning of this text and the more recent cinematic moment of Jim Jarmusch and Taylor Mead, Newkirk comes to an uneasy peace with the world’s shortcomings by delving further into the solace of his objects and images and encouraging us to do the same.
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Frederick S. Wight Art Gallery, University of California, Los Angeles
July 13 - August 15, 1976
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